

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/942,700	08/31/2001	Mikio Nagasawa	H-1007	H-1007 8877	
24956	24956 7590 12/20/2005		EXAMINER		
	LY, STANGER, MAL DNAL ROAD	SMITH, 1	SMITH, TRACI L		
SUITE 370	ONAL ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDI	RIA, VA 22314		3629	•	

DATE MAILED: 12/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/942,700	NAGASAWA, MIKIO		
Examiner	Art Unit	_	
Traci L. Smith	3629		

	Traci L. Omiai	3023	1
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 18 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complet following time periods: The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) i iance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rethe final rejection. sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the set forth	affidavit, or other evid n compliance with 37 (ply must be filed within the final rejection, whichev	ence, which CFR 41.31; or n one of the
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE		D WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension at CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136 nd the corresponding amount of the fee tutory period for reply originally set in th	e. The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ex Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must b AMENDMENTS 	tension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e	e)), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belon (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	nsideration and/or search (see N w); ter form for appeal by materially corresponding number of finally i	OTE below); reducing or simplifying	•
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	21. See attached Notice of Non-G		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	vided below or appended.	JOYN G. WEISS ISOSV PATENT ENAM	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	it before or on the date of filing a d sufficient reasons why the affid	Notice of Appeal will <u>a</u> avit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under app y and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	cried.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see attachment.	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pape	r No(s)	

Application/Control Number: 09/942,700 Page 2

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to papers filed on 11/18/2005.

- 2. Claims 1-10 are pending.
- 3. Claims 1-10 are rejected.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed November 18, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 5. As to applicants arguments regarding the rejections under 35 USC 112 1st Paragraph:
 - a. Claims 1-Applicant states that the claim no longer claims "estimated" however, the claim still states "simulating" which is the first aspect of the rejection regarding this claim, how does one simulate performance and what is used for the simulation. The applicants statements regarding the estimating portion are correct but the amendment fails to overcome the rejection with respect to the simulation limitation of the claim.
 - b. Claim 3- Applicant again is arguing that all limitations of the rejection have been removed. The examiner points to lines 8 and 11 of claim 3 in which estimating is still stated without teaching what is being used to do the estimation.
 - c. Claim 4-Applicant states the claim no longer recites recalculation. The examiner agrees with this but also notes that there is still the calculation formula (Ln. 9)that is not taught nor a teaching for what is used for calculations.

Application/Control Number: 09/942,700

Art Unit: 3629

- 6. As to applicants arguments regarding the rejections under 35 USC 102 applicants arguments were not persuasive.
- 7. Applicant argues that reference fails to teach "resource performance data" the examiner notes the reference teaches using credit to determine risk, this means they are using credit to determine risk of a resource, this inherently includes how the resource has historically performed. A broker is not going to recommend a resource without knowing historically how something has performed as well as brokers use historical data to predict how a resource will perform in the future. Therefore the limitation of "including resource data" does not distinguish from the prior art.
- 8. As to applicants argument that the limitation of "based on said allocation" of how what information is determined to output to a ticket. This added limitation at the end of the claim is merely restating what had already been in the claim of "thereby allocating one or more combinations of said resources that satisfy, in the aggregate, said request specifications". With this statement the applicants themselves are implies the resource data is allocated, therefore if they are outputting resource information to the ticket it would have to be the resource information that had been allocated to that user.
- 9. In view of the above reasons the rejection stands as previously stated in the early rejection and is final.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Traci L. Smith whose telephone number is 572-272-6809. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00 am-4:30 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/942,700

Art Unit: 3629

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on 571-272-6812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TLS

JOHN G. WESS

THE TRUSCRY PATENT EXAMINER